IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

COMPANY PETITION No. 4 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

MOTILAL HIRABHAI ESTATE AND WAREHOUSING LTD. - Petitioner(s)
Versus
GUJCHEM DISTILLERS(INDIA)LTD - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR ANIP A GANDHI for Petitioner(s) : 1,
MR ASHOK L SHAH for Respondent(s) : 1,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

Date : 07/11/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

Shri Ashok L Shah, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent company has submitted that the scheme is sanctioned by the BIFR and whatever is required

to be paid under the scheme to the petitioner, the respondent will make the payment as per the sanctioned scheme, sanctioned by the BIFR.

In view of the above, Shri Anip Gandhi, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner company does not press the present petition. Hence, the respondent is directed to comply with the aforesaid statement made by their counsel.

With this, the present petition is dismissed as having not pressed. No costs.

(M.R.SHAH,J.)

shekhar/-